

G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20 12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1895-6
~~191~~
(Muzrai)

File No. 208

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month

SUBJECT.

Expenditure incurred for Barahazar Santarpane during 1890-1 & subsequent years

---

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1895

MUZARAI.

From

Urgent

To The Dy Commr
Hassan Dist

Dated 22 August/95

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 286 R

Muzarai F. No.

Has the honor to request that bills for the actual amount of expenditure incurred on account of Barahazar Santarpanè at Subramanya in the South Canara Dist for the years 1891-92, 1892-93 and 1893-94 may be forwarded to this office without further delay for Countersignature and transmission to the Comptroller &c.

for adjustment.

By order

Secretary
C.V.

[Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1895

MUZARAI.

From

Early

To The Dy Commr

Hassan Dist

Dated 14th

Octr/95

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file V.P.

General No. 459 R

Muzarai F. No. 167-92

His Rubekari No. 360 dated 2nd Instant submitting for sanction a Kanarese bill for Rs. 2593-..-7, being the actual expenditure incurred on account of Samaradhana or Santarpané in the Bislé Chattram & at Subramanya in the South Canara Dist for the year 1891-92

—— .. ——

With reference to

the above has the honour to enquire from what source the Dy Commr would propose [illegible] to meet the extra charge of Rs. 593-..-7 and to request that the Treasury officer's certificate for the amount of funds that may be pointed out by him ~~the Dy Commr~~ as being available for the purpose may also be forwarded to avoid further correspondence and delay.

2. The enclosures are herewith returned for reference and resubmission.

By order

Secretary.
C.T.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1895

MUZARAI.

From

To The Dy Commr
Hassan Dist.

Dated 14th Octr/95

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 461.

Muzarai F. No. 167-92

His Rubkaries Nos 269 & 362 dated respectively 17th Sepr and 8th Octr/95 soliciting sanction for the payment of an advance of Rs. 2000/ on account of Barahazar Santarpane for 1895-96.

~~Insts~~.

With reference to the above has the honor to ~~state~~ observe that his telegram of the 10th Instant shew that the amount of Rs 2000/ fixed for the Para Bazar Santarpiane has already been drawn in advance.

2. The expenditure should under no circumstances exceed the fixed amount of Rs. 2000/.

3. A bill shewing the particulars of actual expenditure should be forwarded to this office for countersignature and transmission to the Comptroller for adjustment ~~[illegible]~~ at an early date

By Order

Secretary.

C.T.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1895

MUZARAI.

From

To The Dy Commr,
Hassan Dist.

Dated 15th

Despatched 16 October/95

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 465 R

Muzarai F. No. 167-92

His Rubkari No. 376 of the 10th Instant forwarding for orders Manjarabad Taluk Amildar's Itella No 25 of the 7th Idem soliciting sanction for the payment of an advance of Rs. 145/ for erecting a Pandal at Sisle on account of Barahazar Santarpane

---

Adverting to the above has the honor to state that no separate amount will be sanctioned for the erection of the Pand in question, that all charges in connection with the Barahazar Santarpane should be met out of the advance of Rs. 2000/ reported to have been drawn already for the purpose and that under no circumstance the expenditure should exceed the ~~[illegible]~~ amount of Rs 2000/ fixed for the said Santarpané, as stated already in this office Docket No. 461 / M.F. 169-92 dated 14th Octr/95.

2. The Amildar's Itilla is h. with returned

By order

Secretary
C.V.

330

Gen Serial No. 30.]

1895

From

The Dy Commissioner,
Hassan District

To

Immediate

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government ~~Durbar~~ *of Mysore*

a1
21-10-95

Dated 18th
Despatched 18th October 1895.
Received 21/10

Enclosures No. nil

General No. 373
No. Dey

In reply to Nos 461/M. 7 167-92 & 465/M 7 167-92 dated respectively 14th & 15th October 1895.

SUBJECT.

Submitting further report on certain points regarding the Barahazar Santey-pans at Subbramanya during the current year [illegible]

The Govt. consider it undesirable to reduce either the Santey space or the Brahmin feeding. The actual cost will be annually met from the Muzrai Budget.

16/11/95

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

T the Same No 515/167-92 d 25/11/95

Dy Commissioners Office
Hassan District
No
Dated Hassan October 1895

From, A. Krishnaswamiengar Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Hassan District,

To,
The Secretary to the
Governmt of Mysore, Muzrai Deptt

Sir,

With reference to your Dockets Nos 461/167-92 dated 14th October 189 and No 465/M.F 167-92 dated 15th October 1895, I have the honor to state as follow

The grant of Rs 2000 in question includes 1st the cost of the bara-hagar Santharpané at Subramanya, and 2nd the cost of feeding Brahmans for about 15 days at the Bislé chattram (Manjarabad Taluk), during the festival season.-

2. Now, the cost of the Santharpané is a most variable quantity, depending, as it does, upon the prices of articles ruling in the

market. By Sannad granted to the Matt at Subramanya, the Government have undertaken to pay, not a fixed amount in cash, which would indeed save a good deal of trouble, but, a fixed quantity of the various articles themselves. The prices of most of the articles have gone up very high; and (as will be seen from the accompanying list of rates at which tenders have been accepted this year), the value of the necessary provisions for this year exceeds that for the year previous, by Rs 283-2-1, in spite of our best endeavours to secure a better result.

3. The only possible way of keeping the total expenditure within the limit of Rs 2000, would be by considerably curtailing the scale

at the Bisle chattram. This it would be a great pity to do, and would prove to be a most unpopular measure; for very large numbers of weary pilgrims are fed at this useful institution, on their way from and to the Subramanya Shrine.—

4. The orders given in the Dockets referred to will of course be carried out; but I have the honor to submit that the matter requires reconsideration.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obt. Servt.

Dy Commissioner
Hassan District

General Serial No. 30.]

MUZARAJ.

1895

From

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

To The Dy Commr,
Hassan Distt.

Dated 23
Despatched 24 October/95
Received

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 515
Muzarai F. No. 167-92

His No. 373 of the 18th instant forwarding a list of rates at which tenders were accepted for the supply of provisions &c. on account of the ensuing Barahazar Santarpané and stating that the value of provisions for the current year exceeds that of the previous year by Rs. 283-2-7 and requesting that the matter may be taken into favorable consideration and orders.

With reference to the above has the honor --

ans No 412 d/ 4-11-95

honor to request that a Copy of the Sannad granted to the Matt regarding Sarahazar Santarpani at Subramanya may be obtained and forwarded to this office for reference

2. The Dy Commr is also requested to report whether the feeding in the Chattram at Sisle' is conducted temporarily for one month during the festival season.

By order

Secretary.
C.V.

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF
372
~~1895-96~~

From The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To The Secretary to Government
Muzrayi Department

Dated 4th }
Despatched 4" } November 1895.
Received 6/11

Enclosures Number. One

General No. 412
No. Rev.

M or C
as
6 11 95

His docket No $\frac{515}{M.7-167-92}$ dated 23rd October 1895, requesting copy of the Sannad granted to the Subramanya Mutt regarding the Barahazar Santharpaney and a report as to the feeding in the Bisle Chateram.

Has the honor to forwards herewith a copy of the Sannad called for. it is not authenticate the Amildar has been ordered to submits a copy at once. It will be observed that say

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.--19-2-95.--5,002.

the same No $\frac{1272}{167-92}$ d 19/10/92

[illegible]

of the articles actually indented for this year are even smaller in quantity than those which the Matt is entitled to receive under the Sannad. Even with this, the cost has exceeded the grant. It is to be observed that this Sannad does not include cardamoms and sandalwood, for which there are stated to be separate Sannads. These will also be procured and submitted without delay.

The feeding in the Bislé Chatram is onducted temporarily for fifteen days during festival season.

Arthur Samuel

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

[illegible]

([illegible])

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

స్వస్తిశ్రీ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

Gen. 30.]

189

MUZRAI.

From

To The Dy Comr
Hassan Dt.

Dated 19th June 1896

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No. 1 & 1 file

General No. 1272

Muzrai F. No. 167—92

His No. 373 d/18th Octr 95 reporting that owing to high market prices of provisions, the cost of the annual supplies for the Barahagar santarpane at Subramania and the feeding of pilgrims at the Bisle chattram for a fortnight during the festival season, could not be kept within the sanctioned allotment of Rs 2000 provided therefor in the

same No 1319 5/8/96

Muzrai Budget.

Has the honor to request to be informed what portion of the present allotment of provisions, is being expended for the Bara-hazar Santarpanai and what portion for the feeding at the Bisle chathram; and what on an average has been the annual expenditure on each account hitherto? & How much money will be required every year to meet the excess expenditure on account of the rise in the ~~[illegible]~~ prices of provisions;

The Vernacular papers are herewith returned for reference.

By order.

Ast
Muz. Secretary.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
121
~~MUZRAI BRANCH~~

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1896

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.
Muzrai ~~Department~~
Bangalore.

Dated 5th August 1896.
Despatched

Received 7/8

Enclosures No.

General No. 131
No. Secy.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submitting a report giving details of moneys required for the Barahazar Santarpanee at Subramanya & also for feeding pilgrims at Bisle &c

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

The Dewan has already passed orders on the subject on another letter previously received
as
7-8-96

Record with
as
17-8-96

To the same No 335-6/167-92 d 29.8.96

No 131
Secy

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District.
Dated Hassan 5th August 1896.

From.
A. Krishnasawmi Iyengar Esqr,
Dy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No 1272/167-92 dated 19th June 1896, calling for certain particulars regarding the Santharpana's at Subramanya and at the Bisle Chuttram, I have the honor to state as follows:-

(1) The Subramanya Temple and Mutt is entitled, according to Sannad, to a certain amount of provisions every year on account of the Bara-hayar Santharpanee. But as the Budget grant is only 2000 Rs, and as, in addition, this sum is expected to defray the feeding at the Bisle Chattram also, the quantity of provisions furnished to the former institution, has been less than under the Sannad by 11 Pallahs of rice, 3 Pallahs of Dhol

maund of Ghee & 25 seers of Uddinabele'; costing in all about Rs 175 3/4 at last year's rates.

(2) No accurate differentiations of the provisions has been made between Subramanya and Bisle'; the proportions vary every year with the price of articles and the number of pilgrims going to Bisle'. An idea of the respective expenditure may, however, be obtained from the money values of the provisions spent at each place during the last 11 years as shewn below:-

	Subramanya.	Bisle'
1885-86.	1401-2-9.	685-10-9.
1886-87.	1444-10-4.	601-"-".
1887-88.	Total 1966-"-1.	The proportion is not known for this year.
1888-89.	1296-4-2.	602-"-3.
1889-90.	1244-15-7.	716-4-7.
1890-91.	1313-12-1.	741-2-8.
1891-92.	1766-14-4.	822-14-3.
1892-93.	1791-15-4.	943-6-".
1893-94.	1244-4-4.	776-"-1.
1894-95.	1239-3-4.	788-14-8.
1895-96.	1443-14-4.	883-6-4

(3) The average expenditure for each institution during the last 8 years since 1888-89 is as follows:-

Subramanya Temple & Mutt Rs 1418 } approximately
Bisle Chuttram — Rs 785 }

2. As to what money will be required in future years, it seems to me on a consideration of the expenditure in previous years and making allowances for fluctuations of price, that a sum of 900 Rs may be temporarily assigned for the Bisle Santharpanee, the same being met from the annual Tasdik of Rs 1047 of that and the Inaembally chuttrums. After the Chattram at Bisle, the construction of which has been recently sanctioned by Government, is completed, I shall submit proposals for the regular renewal of feeding at both the Chattrams. As regards the Santharpanee at Subramanya, a sum of Rs 1800/- per year will be required if the full quantity of provisions, as laid down in the Sannad, should be furnished.

Sanctn
100
a1
17-8-9

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant
A Muthuswamy
Dy Commissioner

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter No 131 dated 5th August 1896 from the Dy. Commr., Hassan District, regarding the annual Barahazar Santarpane at Subramanya.

No. 335-6 / Muzr G 167-92 d/ 29/8/96

Order thereon

A Sum of Rs 2000 is being provided in the Muzrai Budget for the annual Barahazar Santarpane at Subramanya and the feeding of pilgrims at the Bisle Chattram for a fortnight during the festival season at Subramanya.

2. The Dy. Commr reports that owing to the rise of prices of provision

provisions during recent years, it is found impossible to keep the cost of the annual Supplies for the Santarpané within the Sanctioned allotment of Rs 2000/- and that the only way to avoid excess expenditure is to considerably curtail the feeding of pilgrims at the Bislé Chattram; but as an alternative measure, the Dy. Commr. also proposes that the sanctioned allotment of Rs 2000/- may be supplemented by a grant of Rs 700/- from out of the Tasdik allowance of Rs 1044-0-0 attached to the Bislé Sri Rama Chattram, which has been temporarily closed pending the re-construction of the Chattram building an estimate for which has been sanctioned by Government in Pro: No $\frac{1043\text{-}5}{\text{ms } 738\text{-}95}$ dated 26th March 1896.

3. The Government consider it undesirable to reduce either the Barahasar Santarpané at Subramanya or the temporary feeding of Pilgrims at the Bislé Chattram during the festival seasons, and are therefore pleased to sanction the Dy. Commr's proposal to grant Rs 700/- from out of the Tasdik of the Bislé Chattram to meet full cost of the feedin at both places.

4. The supplementa grant of Rs 700/- a sanctioned from Tasd

Tasdik of the Bislé Chattram
will continue as a
temporary measure until
the construction of the
new Chattram building
at Bislé, Sanctioned in
Govt Pro: No $\frac{1043-5}{\text{Mus 738-95}}$
dated 26th March 1896
referred to above is
completed, after which
further orders will be
issued on the subject
on receipt of the
Dy. Commr's proposal
for the renewal of
regular feeding at
the new Chattram.

Fair Draft.

Mus Secy.

To,
The Dy. Commr,
Hassan Distt,
" Comptroller to
the Govt. of
Mysore.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 17-8-00
REG. No 125
MUZRAI BRANCH

~~189~~
1900–1901

FROM

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Dated 13th
Despatched 13th } August 1900.
Received

General No. 110.
No. 5.

Enclosure No. 1 & 1 pple

17/8/00

In reply to No. 1096/767-92 d/ 20.4.00

Submitting a final bill for the expenditure incurred for the Barahazi Santerpanic of 1891-92 & requesting that the same sanctioned and transmitted to the Comptroller for the adjustment of the advances noted on bill form

Forward to Comptroller for adjustment

Vide no 303-4 d/ 21/8/00

No. 110/S

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District
Dated — Hassan 13th August 1900

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai's Departments

Sir

With reference to your docket No. 1096/167-92, dated 20th April 1900, requesting the early submission of the bill on account of the expenditure incurred for the Barahazar Santarpane of 1891-92, I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs 100/- was advanced to the Amildar of Manjarabad in November 1891 for constructing a Kottara at Bislé for the use of the Barahazar Santarpane of that year. Of this sum of Rs 75 was paid to the Mandé patels on 6th November 1891 on condition of completing the construction of the shed within 15 days as may be observed from voucher of the same date. (Vide fairist no 80) and the balance, viz, Rs 25/- had been kept in deposit which having lapsed was credited to Government under the head "lapsed deposit" in 1895. The Amildar now submits a bill for Rs 75/- with the explanation that no references are forthcoming in the Taluk as to the satisfactory execution of the work in question and that he is unable to explain the long delay in submitting this bill for

Sanction. As it is reported by the Amildar that no references are available to show that the work was satisfactorily completed, nothing can be done at this distance of time.

2. —— Further I beg to state that the total cost incurred for the Santarpane 1891-92 amounted to Rs 2593-11-7 excluding the amount referred to in the above para. To meet this expenditure a sum of Rs 2586-8-2 was drawn in advance from the Treasury which seems to have been adjusted by the Comptroller (since it is not shown in the list of unadjusted outstanding advances) the authority for which is not traceable in this office records and the balance viz, Rs 6-8-5 was utilized out of the sale proceeds of the surplus articles purchased for the Santarpane as may be observed from the detailed list of expenditure

(Vide Tavrist no 6.2) which requires no adjustments in the Treasury Accounts but only formal sanction of Government

3. —— I therefore beg to submit herewith a final bill for Rs 2668-11-7 and request that you will kindly sanction and forward the same to the Comptroller for the adjustment of the advances noted on the back of the bill, the excess expenditure, viz, Rs 668-11-7 over the Tasdik allotment of Rs 2000/- being met from the marginally noted sources.

The savings of the Bisle and Marnahalli Chatrams shewn in the Treasury certificate attached to the bill -
Sale proceeds of the surplus articles purchased for the Santarpane 1891-92

4. — - Further a sum of Rs 1-14-11 being the savings effected since the claimant failed to appear to receive the same was refunded to the District Treasury on 24th December 1892 towards the adjustment of the advance of

Rs 900/- drawn on voucher no 143 in the list of payments for November 1891

5. — As regards the advance of Rs 25/- which still remains unadjusted, a refund bill for the lapsed amount referred to para 1 of this letter has been submitted to the Comptroller for sanction and on receipt of the same duly audited the amount will be refunded to Treasury in Cash -

6. - - The Vernacular papers ending with Manjarabad Amildar's report No. $\frac{213}{848}$ - dated 27th June 1900 are herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Rungarasniga Rau
For Deputy Commissioner
OK. Hassan Distt

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 110 d/ 13th Aug 1900. from the Dr of Hassan Dt Bd for adjustment a bill for Rs. 2668-11-7. on account of ~~being the~~ ~~amount of~~ expenditure incurred for the Bizanagar Sanken-pane of 1891-2.

No 303-4 En 18 VPkle
Mmz 7167-92
D/31-8.00

Order thereon

The bill for Rs 2668-11-7 is countn

Signed & forwarded

to the Compr for

adjustment of the

advances noted on

~~back of~~ the Bill;

the excess expenditure
of Rs 668-0-7.
~~of Rs 668-0-7~~ incurred

over the Budget allotment

of Rs 2000 assigned

for the Sambanpane

being debited to

the ~~savings~~ Surplus

Funds at the credit

of the ~~Mark~~ Marnhali

Chatham as proposed

by the Dr.

W
Mur-Secy

To the Compr with
Bill
the D [illegible]
[illegible]

1901 - 1902

FROM
D. Devaraj Urs Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District
To
L. Anantaramiah Esquire B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore, Muzrai Dept.
Dated 20th
Despatched 27 } August 1901
Received
General No. 133
No. 5.
Enclosure No.

31/8/01

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 31-8-1901
REG NO 159
MUZRAI BRANCH

Submitted for sanction a revised bill for Rs 2185. 5. 10 being expenses incurred for feeding Brahmins during Barahazar Santerpanes of 1890-1891 in

Submitted

Under the circumstances explained, the revised bill for Rs 2185-5-10 may be sanctioned, and the Comptroller requested to adjust the outstanding advance of Rs 52-1-6.
but before sanctioning it, a suppl. bill may be called for.
The irregularity in having utilized the sum of Rs 22-14-6 out of the sale proceeds of certain unserviceable articles, without the previous sanction of Govt., may also be pointed out. Rs
16/9/01

No 133 / 2 F.W.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District
Dated, Hassan, 20th August 1901

From
D. Devaraj Urs Esquire
Deputy Commissioners Office
Hassan District

To
L. Anantaswami Rao Esqr
B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir

In submitting a revised bill amounting to Rs 2185-5-10 being the expenses incurred on account of Barahuzar Santarpané in the Bisle Chattram and Subramanya during the Car festival of Sree Subramanyaswara Swami in the South Canara District during the year 1890-91, I have the honor to state that, without including the sum of Rs 75/- being the cost of constructing a Kottara at Bisle, a bill for Rs 2110-5-10 was submitted and the same was sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller for adjustment of the advances noted at the foot of the bill now submitted for sanction (Vide Govt Pro no 94-5 dated 20th July 1895).

2.— The Comptroller, out of the total amount of Rs 2162-7-4 advanced for the purpose, adjusted (Vide Comptroller's docket no $2\frac{584}{1383}$ dated 25th May 97) the sum of Rs 2110-5-10 sanctioned in the said Proceedings. The balance out of the total amount of advance viz Rs 52-1-6 remains still unadjusted.

3.— The amount now required to be sanctioned is Rs 75/- being the cost of constructing the

Kotta

Kottara at Birle.

4 — The amildar, instead of crediting the whole of the sale proceeds, Viz Rs 27-11-3 of unserviceable articles such as gunny bags be to the Treasury and obtaining sanction for using the same, utilized the sum of Rs 22-14-6 out of the sale proceeds for payment towards the cost of constructing the Kottara at Birle.

5 — Under these circumstances I beg to request that you will be so good as to sanction the Revised Bill for Rs 2185-5-10 and forward the same to the Comptroller with a request to adjust the outstanding advance of Rs 52-1-6, the amount being met out of the Surplus Funds available at the credit of the —

Birle.

Bisle Sucerandevar Chattra as per Treasury Certificate herewith sent.

6. Vernacular Correspondence ending with Manjarabad Amildar's report no $\frac{45}{150}$ dated 17th August 1900 is herewith submitted for perusal and kind return.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedient Servant,

T M Wetherall
Inch Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

bj

MUZRAI

1901

From

To

The Deputy Commr.,
Hassan District

Dated 26th September '01
Despatched 28th
Received

General No. 517
Muzrai F. No. 167/92
Enclosure No. 2 & 1/pp.

His letter No. 133, dated 20th August 1901, submitting for sanction a Revised Bill for Rs 2185-5-10, being the amount of expenses incurred during the Barahazar Santarpane at Subrahmanya, South Canara District during the year 1890-1.

—— " ——

With refce to the above, has the honor to request the submission of a supplemental bill for the excess expenditure of Rs 75/- reported to have been incurred in connection with the construction of a Kottara at Bisle-together with an explanat

MGCPB--11-1-1901--1500

the unusually long delay of
arly ten years in the making this
ce.

The fact of the Amildar
aving utilized the sum of Rs 22.14.6
wards the construction of the
othara in question, and the balance
aving been credited to the Treasury,
ould also be certified in the
pplemental bill now called for.

Enclosures are returned
refce and re-submission.

By order,

25/9/04

Dy Secretary

ls

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 26.9.02
REG. No. 213
MUZRAI BRANCH

FROM D. Devaraj Urs Esquire
Dy. Commissioner
Hassan District

To L. Anantarama Rao Esqr.
B.A.
Dy. Secretary to Govt.
Muzrai Department

Dated 23d
Despatched 25th September 1902
Received
General No. 159
No. 5

Enclosure No. Old file.

EA

Please check & submit

26/9/02

Sanction the excess expr of Rs. 52 [illegible]

27/10/02

His Docket no. 517/157-92 dated 26th September 1901, requesting the submission of a supplemental bill for Rs 75, being excess of expenditure incurred during the Brahmanas Santarpane at Subramanya in the year 1890-01.

With reference to the above has the honor to submit herewith a supplemental bill for Rs. as called for in the ab of this docket under reply, it is reported by the Amildar that the sum of Rs 22-14-6 was utilised towards the Santarpane expenses (and not towards Kottara construction) and the balance was credited to Treasury, and this fact is certified to in the bill, now submitted for sanction and transmission to the Comptroller for audit. But [illegible] of this amount, the Comptroller may be requested to adjust the outstanding advance of Rs 52-1-6, connected with this Barahazar Santarpane, and the balance of Rs 22-14-6 in may be credited to the funds from which the cost of Barahazar Santarpane is met annually inasmuch as the sale proceeds of surplus articles of that year which ought to have been credited to the funds were utilised to meet the cost of the Santarpane

3 The file of vernacular papers ending with Manjarabad Amildar's report No 16/54 dated 12th August 1902, is herewith submitted for reference and kind return

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

Muzrai

1460
18 9 02

Read:—

Govt Pro: No $\frac{94-5}{\text{Muzt } 117-92}$, dated 20th July 1895, counter-signing and forwarding to the Comptr: for adjustment a bill for Rs 2110-5-10, being the expenditure incurred for the Barahazar Santarpane at Subrahmanya, South Canara Dt, during the year 1890-91.

And,

Corrce: ending with docket No. 159, dated 23rd Sept: 1902, from the Dy Commr:, Hassan Dt, submitting a supplemental bill for Rs 75/-, being the cost of constructing a Kottara at Bisle, for use during the

Santarpane in question

,,

No 758-9 Encl file V.P.P.
Muz: F. 167-92
& 1 D 24·10·02.

Order thereon

From the corr.ce read above, it is observed that in addition to the sum of Rs 2110-5-10 sanctioned for feeding expences incurred during the Barahazar Santarpane at Subrahmanya, during the year 1890-91, a sum of Rs 75/- was incurred ~~in excess~~ for the construction of a Kottara.

2. In soliciting sanction to this additional expenditure of Rs 75/-, the Dy Commr reports that a sum of Rs 22-14-6, out of Rs 27-0-3 realised from the sale proceeds of some unserviceable

articles, was utilized towards ~~the construction~~ Santarpana expenses, instead of the amount being credited to the Treasury in view to a part adjustment of the cost of the construction of the Kottara in question. He also states that out of Rs 75/- for which a Supplemental bill is now submitted, a sum of Rs 52-1-6 has to be debited towards the adjustment of the advance drawn by him, the balance being credited to the funds of the Instn.

3. Under the circes represented, the Govt. are pleased to sanction the additional expenditure

of Rs 75/- and the Dy Commr. is accordingly requested to adjust the outstanding advance of Rs 52-1-6, the charge being met from the savings at the credit of the Sri Rama-Swami Chattram at Bisle—

4. It is unnecessary draw and again credit to he funds of the Instn. the um of Rs 22-14-6 reported o have been utilized towards eeding expenses, out of the sale proceeds of the unserviceable articles. The Govt owever wish to point out to the D.C hat the action ~~taken~~ of ~~by~~ the Amildar in having unauthorizedly tilised this amount without previous sanction, is highly irregular. He should be warned against the repetition of such irregularity.

22/10/07

Dy Secy, Muz: Depts

To
The Dy Commr., Hassan Dt.
retg. V. Pp—
Compts., with bill